	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/230,195	RYBAK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shin-Lin Chen	1632
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Shin-Lin Chen.	(3)	
(2) <u>Jean Lockyer</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 July 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed minor changes on claims 5 which contains trademark Onconase, claim 13 which should depend on claim 12, and claim 32 by adding the term "further", and proposed cancellation of claims 38 and 40. Ms. Lockyer agreed to those proposed changes.